

ANAHIT CHUBARYAN, **ARTUR KHAMISYAN**

*On some universal proof system for all versions  
of many-valued logics*

Department of Informatics and Applied Mathematics

Yerevan State University

## Main notions of k-valued logic.

Let  $E_k$  be the set  $\left\{0, \frac{1}{k-1}, \dots, \frac{k-2}{k-1}, 1\right\}$ .

Definitions of main logical functions are:

$$\mathbf{p} \vee \mathbf{q} = \max(p, q) \quad (1) \text{ disjunction or}$$

$$\mathbf{p} \vee \mathbf{q} = [(k-1)(p+q)](\text{mod } k)/(k-1) \quad (2) \text{ disjunction,}$$

$$\mathbf{p} \& \mathbf{q} = \min(p, q) \quad (1) \text{ conjunction or}$$

$$\mathbf{p} \& \mathbf{q} = \max(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q} - 1, 0) \quad (2) \text{ conjunction}$$

For implication we have two following versions:

$$\mathbf{p} \supset \mathbf{q} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } p \leq q \\ 1 - p + q, & \text{for } p > q \end{cases} \quad (1) \text{ Łukasiewicz's implication}$$

or

$$\mathbf{p} \supset \mathbf{q} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } p \leq q \\ q, & \text{for } p > q \end{cases} \quad (2) \text{ Gödel's implication}$$

And for negation two versions also:

$$\neg \mathbf{p} = 1 - p \quad (1) \text{ Łukasiewicz's negation}$$

or

$$\neg \mathbf{p} = ((k - 1)p + 1)(\text{mod } k)/(k - 1) \quad (2) \text{ cyclically permuting negation.}$$

Sometimes we can use the notation  $\bar{\mathbf{p}}$  instead of  $\neg \mathbf{p}$ .

For propositional variable  $p$  and  $\delta = \frac{i}{k-1} (0 \leq i \leq k-1)$  we define additionally “**exponent**” functions:

$p^\delta$  as  $(p \supset \delta) \& (\delta \supset p)$  with (1) implication (1) exponent,

$p^\delta$  as  $p$  with  $(k-1)-i$  (2) negations. (2) exponent.

We use the well-known notions of propositional formula, which defined as usual from propositional variables with values from  $E_k$ , (may be also propositional constants), parentheses ( $(,)$ ), and logical connectives  $\&, \vee, \supset, \neg$ . Additionally we use two modes of exponential function  $p^\sigma$  and introduce the additional notion of formula: for every formulas A and B the expression  $A^B$  (for both modes) is formula also.

In the considered logics either only **1** or every of values  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{i}{k-1} \leq 1$  can be fixed as *designated values*.

If we fix “**1**” (every of values  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{i}{k-1} \leq 1$ ) as designated value, so a formula  $\varphi$  with variables  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  is called ***1-k-tautology*** ( ***$\geq 1/2$ -k-tautology***) if for every  $\tilde{\delta} = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n) \in E_k^n$  assigning  $\delta_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) to each  $p_j$  gives the value 1 (or some value  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{i}{k-1} \leq 1$ ) of  $\varphi$ .

Sometimes we call ***1-k-tautology*** or  ***$\geq 1/2$ -k-tautology*** simply ***k-tautology***.

## **Definitions of universal system for MVL and some properties of them.**

### **Sequent type system US for all versions of MVL.**

Sequent system uses the denotation of sequent  $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$  where  $\Gamma$  (antecedent) and  $\Delta$  (succedent) are finite (may be empty) sequences (or sets) of propositional formulas.

For every propositional variable  $p$  in  $k$ -valued logic  $p^0, p^{1/k-1}, \dots, p^{k-2/k-1}$  and  $p^1$  in sense of both exponent modes are the **literals**

For every literal  $C$  and for any set of literals  $\Gamma$  the axiom scheme of propositional system **US** is

$$\Gamma, C \rightarrow C.$$

For every formulas  $A, B$ , for any sets of literals  $\Gamma$ , each  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma$  from the set  $E_k$  and for  $* \in \{\&, \vee, \supset\}$  the logical rules of **US** are:

$$\vdash^* \frac{\Gamma \vdash A^{\sigma_1} \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash B^{\sigma_2}}{\Gamma \vdash (A * B)^{\varphi_*(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)}} \quad , \quad \vdash \text{exp} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A^{\sigma_1} \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash B^{\sigma_2}}{\Gamma \vdash (A^B)^{\varphi_{\text{exp}}(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)}}$$

$$\vdash \neg \frac{\Gamma \vdash A^\sigma}{\Gamma \vdash (\neg A)^{\varphi_{\neg}(A, \sigma)}}$$

literals elimination

$$\vdash \frac{\Gamma, p^0 \vdash A, \Gamma, p^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \vdash A, \dots, \Gamma, p^{\frac{k-2}{k-1}} \vdash A, \Gamma, p^1 \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash A},$$



where many-valued functions

$$\varphi_*(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2), \quad \varphi_{\text{exp}}(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2), \quad \varphi_{\neg}(A, \sigma),$$

must be defined individually for each version of MVL such, that

1) formulas  $A^{\sigma_1} \supset (B^{\sigma_2} \supset (A * B)^{\varphi_*(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)})$ ,

$$A^{\sigma_1} \supset (B^{\sigma_2} \supset (A^B)^{\varphi_{\text{exp}}(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)}) \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\sigma} \supset (\neg A)^{\varphi_{\neg}(A, \sigma)}$$

must be  $k$ -tautology in this version,

2) if for some  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma$  the value of

$$\sigma_1 * \sigma_2 \quad (\sigma_1^{\sigma_2}, \neg \sigma)$$

is one of *designed values* in this version of MVL , then

$$(\sigma_1 * \sigma_2)^{\varphi_*(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)} = \sigma_1 * \sigma_2$$

$$((\sigma_1^{\sigma_2})^{\varphi_{\text{exp}}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)} = \sigma_1^{\sigma_2}, (\neg \sigma)^{\varphi_{\neg}(\sigma, \sigma)} = \neg \sigma).$$

We say that formula  $A$  is *derived in US* iff the sequent  $\vdash A$  is *deduced in US*.

## Completeness of US

Here we give at first for the system **US** some generalization of Kalmar's proof of deducibility for two-valued tautologies in the classical propositional logic .

*Lemma* . Let  $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$  be the set of all variables of any formula  $A$ , then for every  $\tilde{\delta} = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n) \in E_k^n$  the following sequent is proved in **US**.

$$p_1^{\delta_1}, p_2^{\delta_2}, \dots, p_n^{\delta_n} \vdash A^{A(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n)}$$

**Corollary.** If  $A$  is  $k$ -tautology, then for every  $\tilde{\delta} = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n) \in E_k^n$  in **US** is proved the sequent

$$p_1^{\delta_1}, p_2^{\delta_2}, \dots, p_n^{\delta_n} \vdash A.$$

Really we must use the properties 2) of the functions  $\varphi_*(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ ,  $\varphi_{\text{exp}}(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  and  $\varphi_{\neg}(A, \sigma)$ .

**Theorem** Any formula is derived in **US** iff it is  $k$ -tautology.

## Examples of US for some versions of MVL

a) For the first of constructed systems  $\mathbf{LN}_k$  (Łukasiewicz's negation ) with fixed “1” as designated value, use conjunction, disjunction, (1) implication, (1) negation and (1) exponent, and constants  $\delta = \frac{i}{k-1}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k-2$ ) for using (1)exponent the functions  $\varphi_*(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ ,  $\varphi_{exp}(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ ,  $\varphi_{\neg}(A, \sigma)$  are defined as follows:

$$\varphi_*(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \sigma_1 * \sigma_2$$

$$\varphi_{exp}(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \sigma_1^{\sigma_2}$$

$$\varphi_{\neg}(A, \sigma) = \neg\sigma.$$

b) For the second systems  $\mathbf{CN}_3$  (cyclically permuting negation) with fixed “1” as designated value, use conjunction, disjunction, (2)implication, (2)negation and (2)exponent the functions  $\varphi_*(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ ,  $\varphi_{exp}(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ ,  $\varphi_{\neg}(A, \sigma)$  are defined as follows:

$$\varphi_{\supset}(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (\sigma_1 \supset \sigma_2) \& (\neg(A \vee \bar{A}) \vee (\bar{\bar{B}} \supset B)) \vee (\neg(A \vee \bar{A}) \& \neg(B \vee \bar{\bar{B}})),$$

$$\varphi_{\vee}(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (\sigma_1 \vee \sigma_2) \vee ((A \supset \bar{A}) \& \neg(\bar{B} \vee \bar{\bar{B}})) \vee (\neg(\bar{A} \vee \bar{\bar{A}}) \& (B \supset \bar{B})),$$

$$\varphi_{\&}(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (\sigma_1 \& \sigma_2) \vee ((A \& \bar{A}) \vee (B \& \bar{B})) \vee ((A \& \bar{A}) \vee (B \& \bar{\bar{B}}))$$

$$\varphi_{exp}(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \sigma_1^{\sigma_2} \vee (\neg(\sigma_1^{\sigma_2}) \& \neg(\neg(A^{\sigma_1} \& \bar{B}^{\sigma_2}) \vee \neg \neg(A^{\sigma_1} \& \bar{\bar{B}}^{\sigma_2})))$$

$$\varphi_{\neg}(A, \sigma) = \neg \sigma.$$

c) For  $LN_{3,2}$  - Łukasiewicz's logic with fixed "1/2" and "1" as designated values, and with (1) conjunction, (1) disjunction, (1) implication, (1) negation and (1) exponent, and constants 0, 1/2 and 1 for using (1)exponent we have

$$\varphi_*(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = ((A^{\sigma_1} \& B^{\sigma_2}) \& \neg(A * B)) \supset \neg((A^{\sigma_1} \& B^{\sigma_2}) \& \neg(A * B))$$

$$\varphi_{exp}(A, B, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = ((A^{\sigma_1} \& B^{\sigma_2}) \& \neg(A^B)) \supset \neg((A^{\sigma_1} \& B^{\sigma_2}) \& \neg(A^B))$$

$$\varphi_{\neg}(A, \sigma) = (A \& \sigma) \supset \neg(A \& \sigma)$$

The work with other version of MVL is in progress.



Thank you for attention