

Strong compactness and the continuum function

Stamatis Dimopoulos

University of Bristol

Logic Colloquium 2018

July 24, 2018

Udine, Italy

(Joint work in progress with A. Apter)

The continuum function

Definition

The continuum function is given by

$$\kappa \mapsto 2^\kappa$$

where κ is any infinite cardinal.

- $\kappa^+ \leq 2^\kappa$
- $\kappa \leq \lambda \rightarrow 2^\kappa \leq 2^\lambda$
- $\text{cf}(2^\kappa) > \kappa$ (König's theorem)

Definition

The **Generalised Continuum Hypothesis** (GCH) is the statement that for all infinite cardinals κ , $2^\kappa = \kappa^+$.

- GCH asserts that the continuum function is as simple as possible.

Theorem (Gödel-1938 & Cohen-1963)

GCH is independent from ZFC.

Theorem (Easton, 1970)

Suppose F is an *Easton function*, i.e. a class function with domain the class of infinite *regular* cardinals, codomain the class of infinite cardinals and for all κ, λ in its domain:

- 1 $\kappa^+ \leq F(\kappa)$
- 2 $\kappa \leq \lambda \rightarrow F(\kappa) \leq F(\lambda)$
- 3 $\text{cf}(F(\kappa)) > \kappa$

Then, there is a model of ZFC where F is realised, i.e. the continuum function on regular cardinals is identical to F .

- ZFC does not impose any restrictions on the continuum function on regular cardinals.

Large cardinals and the continuum function

When we bring large cardinals in the picture, we actually get **new** restrictions on the continuum function.

Large cardinals and the continuum function

When we bring large cardinals in the picture, we actually get [new](#) restrictions on the continuum function.

- (Scott, 19??) A measurable cardinal cannot be the first failure of GCH.
- (Solovay, 1974) GCH holds at all singular cardinals above a strongly compact cardinal.
- (Folklore) If GCH holds below a supercompact cardinal, then it holds above it too.

Large cardinals and the continuum function

When we bring large cardinals in the picture, we actually get [new](#) restrictions on the continuum function.

- (Scott, 19??) A measurable cardinal cannot be the first failure of GCH.
- (Solovay, 1974) GCH holds at all singular cardinals above a strongly compact cardinal.
- (Folklore) If GCH holds below a supercompact cardinal, then it holds above it too.

This shows that Easton's model may not admit large cardinals.

Question

What sort of Easton functions can be realised in the presence of large cardinals?

Large cardinals and the continuum function

- For the case of measurable cardinals, there is now a wealth of results.

Large cardinals and the continuum function

- For the case of measurable cardinals, there is now a wealth of results.

Suppose κ is a measurable cardinal.

- ① The continuum function can behave arbitrarily above κ . [Folklore?]
- ② The continuum function can behave arbitrarily at a measure 0 set below κ . [Kunen & Paris, 1971]
- ③ The violation of GCH at a measurable cardinal is **not** provable just assuming a measurable. In fact, a measurable with non-trivial Mitchell rank is needed. [Mitchel-1984 & Gitik-1989]

Large cardinals and the continuum function

- For the case of measurable cardinals, there is now a wealth of results.

Suppose κ is a measurable cardinal.

- 1 The continuum function can behave arbitrarily above κ . [Folklore?]
- 2 The continuum function can behave arbitrarily at a measure 0 set below κ . [Kunen & Paris, 1971]
- 3 The violation of GCH at a measurable cardinal is **not** provable just assuming a measurable. In fact, a measurable with non-trivial Mitchell rank is needed. [Mitchel-1984 & Gitik-1989]

Theorem (Friedman & Honzik, 2008)

If κ is measurable, then we can realise any Easton function F , while preserving the measurability of κ , as long as:

- 1 $\forall \alpha < \kappa (F(\alpha) < \kappa)$,
- 2 *there is an embedding $j : V \rightarrow M$ with $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$, $H_{F(\kappa)} \subseteq M$ and $j(F)(\kappa) \geq F(\kappa)$.*

Large cardinals and the continuum function

- There are positive results for supercompact cardinals too.

Large cardinals and the continuum function

- There are positive results for supercompact cardinals too.

Theorem (Menas, 1976)

If κ is supercompact and F is a Δ_2 -definable Easton function, then it is possible to realise F while preserving the supercompactness of κ .

Large cardinals and the continuum function

- There are positive results for supercompact cardinals too.

Theorem (Menas, 1976)

If κ is supercompact and F is a Δ_2 -definable Easton function, then it is possible to realise F while preserving the supercompactness of κ .

Theorem (Cody, Friedman & Honzik, 2013)

If κ is supercompact and F is an Easton function with the additional properties:

- 1 $\forall \alpha < \kappa (F(\alpha) < \kappa)$,
- 2 *there is an embedding $j : V \rightarrow M$ with $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$, ${}^\lambda M \subseteq M$, $H_{F(\lambda)} \subseteq M$ and $j(F) \upharpoonright (\lambda + 1) = F \upharpoonright (\lambda + 1)$,*

then F can be realised while preserving the λ -supercompactness of κ .

The case of strongly compact cardinals

- In joint work in progress with A. Apter, we try to find what configurations in Easton's theorem would be compatible with strongly compact cardinals.
- It is not known if we can violate GCH at a strongly compact without stronger assumptions.

Theorem (D., 2018, maybe known?)

If there is a cardinal κ which is strongly compact and κ^+ -supercompact, then it is consistent that GCH fails at a strongly compact cardinal.

Theorem (D., 2018, maybe known?)

If there is a cardinal κ which is strongly compact and κ^+ -supercompact, then it is consistent that GCH fails at a strongly compact cardinal.

Proof sketch.

- Start with an embedding $j : V \rightarrow M$ which witnesses both the κ^+ -supercompactness and some degree of strong compactness.
- Factor the embedding through a κ^+ -supercompact embedding.
- The κ^+ -supercompactness embedding can be lifted using Magidor's argument (or surgery).
- The factor embedding has small width so we can also lift it. □

- The general case is much more difficult because of the strange relation among measurable, strongly compact and supercompact cardinals.
- The only solution (so far) is to work in models such as the following.

- The general case is much more difficult because of the strange relation among measurable, strongly compact and supercompact cardinals.
- The only solution (so far) is to work in models such as the following.

Theorem (Magidor-unpublished, Apter-1998)

There is a model of ZFC where the classes of supercompact and strongly compact cardinals coincide whenever that is possible.

- Call a model as above “ideal”.

Theorem (Apter, 2017)

In an “ideal” model, if κ is a non-supercompact strongly compact cardinal, it is possible to violate GCH at κ .

Theorem (Apter, 2017)

In an “ideal” model, if κ is a non-supercompact strongly compact cardinal, it is possible to violate GCH at κ .

Proof sketch.

- κ has to be a limit of supercompacts - make them all indestructible.
- Add a fast function for the **tallness** of κ .
- Force with the lottery preparation with respect to the fast function.
- Change the continuum at κ , using surgery to preserve its tallness. \square

Theorem (Apter, 2017)

In an “ideal” model, if κ is a non-supercompact strongly compact cardinal, it is possible to violate GCH at κ .

- The proof does not specify what kind of Easton functions can be realised in general.

Theorem (Apter, 2017)

In an “ideal” model, if κ is a non-supercompact strongly compact cardinal, it is possible to violate GCH at κ .

- The proof does not specify what kind of Easton functions can be realised in general.
- There are issues when trying to “iterate” it.
- The issue is to force a universal fast function and a universal “surgery preparation” argument.

Theorem (Apter, 2017)

In an “ideal” model, if κ is a non-supercompact strongly compact cardinal, it is possible to violate GCH at κ .

- The proof does not specify what kind of Easton functions can be realised in general.
- There are issues when trying to “iterate” it.
- The issue is to force a universal fast function and a universal “surgery preparation” argument.

Conjecture

*In an “ideal” model, it is possible to violate GCH at **all** strongly compact cardinals, while preserving their strong compactness.*

Question 1

In an “ideal” model, what sort of Easton functions can be realised while preserving any non-supercompact strongly compact cardinal?

Questions

Question 1

In an “ideal” model, what sort of Easton functions can be realised while preserving any non-supercompact strongly compact cardinal?

Question 2

Does the violation of GCH at a strongly compact cardinal require supercompactness?

Questions

Question 1

In an “ideal” model, what sort of Easton functions can be realised while preserving any non-supercompact strongly compact cardinal?

Question 2

Does the violation of GCH at a strongly compact cardinal require supercompactness?

Question 3 (Woodin)

If GCH holds below a strongly compact cardinal, does it hold above it too?

Questions

Question 1

In an “ideal” model, what sort of Easton functions can be realised while preserving any non-supercompact strongly compact cardinal?

Question 2

Does the violation of GCH at a strongly compact cardinal require supercompactness?

Question 3 (Woodin)

If GCH holds below a strongly compact cardinal, does it hold above it too?

Thank you!