

Hereditarily finite list superstructures and list structures

Svetlana Aleksandrova
Novosibirsk State University

LC 2018

Hereditarily finite and list superstructures

- Barwise: Admissible sets.
- Ershov: Σ -definability in hereditarily finite superstructures.
- Goncharov and Sviridenko: lists over the elements of a given abstract data type.

Hereditarily finite superstructure

Let \mathcal{M} be a model of σ

- $HF_0(M) = M$
- $HF_{n+1}(M) = HF_n(M) \cup \mathcal{P}_\omega(HF_n(M))$
- $HF(M) = \bigcup_{n < \omega} HF_n(M)$

Then HF superstructure $\mathbb{H}F(\mathcal{M}) = \langle M, HF(M), \sigma \cup \{\emptyset, \in^2, U^1\} \rangle$

Hereditarily finite list superstructure

Let \mathcal{M} be a model of σ

- elements of $S^0(M)$ are finite lists of M ,
- elements of $S^{n+1}(M)$ are finite lists of $S^n(M) \cup M$.
- $S(M) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} S^n(M)$

Then $HW(\mathcal{M}) = \langle M, S(M), \sigma \cup \{head, tail, cons, nil, \in\} \rangle$

- $head(\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle) = x_n$,
 $head(nil) = nil$
- $tail(\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \rangle) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$,
 $tail(\langle y \rangle) = tail(nil) = nil$
- $cons(\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle, y) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y \rangle$,
- $y \in \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \iff y = x_i$, for some $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- $\langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle \sqsubseteq \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \iff m \leq n$ and $y_i = x_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Ershov

$\mathcal{A} = \langle A; P_0^{n_0}, \dots, P_k^{n_k} \rangle$ is Σ -definable in \mathbb{A} , if there are Σ -formulas (with parameters in \mathbb{A}) $S(x)$, $E^+(x, y)$, $E^-(x, y)$, $\Psi_i^+(x_1, \dots, x_{n_i})$, $\Psi_i^-(x_1, \dots, x_{n_i})$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, such that

1. $S^* = \{x \in \mathbb{A} \mid \mathbb{A} \models S(x)\} \neq \emptyset$,
2. $E^+(x, y)$ defines congruence η on $\mathcal{A}^* = \langle S^*; P_1^*, \dots, P_k^* \rangle$,
($P_i^* = \{ \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n_i} \rangle \mid \mathbb{A} \models \Psi_i^+(x_1, \dots, x_{n_i}) \}$)
3. sets, defined by E^+ и E^- , have empty intersection and their union is $(S^*)^2$,
4. sets, defined by Ψ_i^+ и Ψ_i^- , have empty intersection and their union is $(S^*)^{n_i}$,
5. $\mathcal{A}^*/\eta \cong \mathcal{A}$.

Σ -definability in admissible sets

Morozov

\mathbb{A} is Σ -definable in \mathbb{B} if i is an embedding from the definition $\mathbb{A} \sqsubseteq_{\Sigma} \mathbb{B}$, there is a Σ -function σ over \mathbb{B} such that $\text{dom}(\sigma) = i[\mathbb{A}]$ and $\sigma(i(x)) = \{i(y) \mid y \in x\}$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{A}$.

Basic property:

For each Σ -subset S of \mathbb{A}^n $\{\langle i(x_1), \dots, i(x_n) \rangle \mid \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in S\}$ is a Σ -subset of \mathbb{B} .

Puzarenko

\mathbb{A} is Σ -definable in \mathbb{B} if $i[\Sigma(\mathbb{A}^2)] \subseteq \Sigma(\mathbb{B}^2)$.

Σ -definability in admissible sets

Morozov

\mathbb{A} is Σ -definable in \mathbb{B} if i is an embedding from the definition $\mathbb{A} \sqsubseteq_{\Sigma} \mathbb{B}$, there is a Σ -function σ over \mathbb{B} such that $\text{dom}(\sigma) = i[\mathbb{A}]$ and $\sigma(i(x)) = \{i(y) \mid y \in x\}$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{A}$.

Basic property:

For each Σ -subset S of \mathbb{A}^n $\{\langle i(x_1), \dots, i(x_n) \rangle \mid \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in S\}$ is a Σ -subset of \mathbb{B} .

Puzarenko

\mathbb{A} is Σ -definable in \mathbb{B} if $i[\Sigma(\mathbb{A}^2)] \subseteq \Sigma(\mathbb{B}^2)$.

Theorem

$\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{M})$ is Σ -definable in $HW(\mathcal{M})$ and $HW(\mathcal{M})$ is Σ -definable in $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{M})$.

- The isomorphism $\mathcal{A}^*/\eta \cong \mathcal{A}$ in both cases is identical on \mathcal{M} .

Corollary

- For every Σ -formula $\Phi(\bar{x})$, $x \in M$ there is some $\Psi(\bar{y})$ such that $\mathbb{HIF}(\mathcal{M}) \models \Phi(\bar{x}) \leftrightarrow HW(\mathcal{M}) \models \Psi(\bar{x})$.
- For every Σ -formula $\Psi(\bar{y})$, $y \in M$ there is some $\Phi(\bar{x})$ such that $HW(\mathcal{M}) \models \Psi(\bar{y}) \leftrightarrow \mathbb{HIF}(\mathcal{M}) \models \Phi(\bar{x})$.

Corollary

$X \subseteq M$ is Σ -definable in $\mathbb{HIF}(\mathcal{M})$ iff X is Σ -definable in $HW(\mathcal{M})$

List structures (feat. N. Bazhenov)

Motivation

- Moore and Russell 1981: axiomatic theory of lists.
- Goncharov 1983: matrices as lists of lists.
- Goncharov 1986: lists over the elements of a given abstract data type.

Goncharov (1986) proved that the theory of lists over models of a decidable theory is decidable.

List structure

Two sorts of variables: `atom` and `list`.

For a finite signature σ , L_σ is a two-sorted first-order language with:

- nil : `list`,
- $cons$: `list` \times `atom` \rightarrow `list`.

List structure over \mathcal{M} is the structure $LS(\mathcal{M})$ of the language L_σ such that

- $atom = |\mathcal{M}|$;
- $list = |\mathcal{M}|^{<\omega}$;
- $nil = \Lambda$;
- $cons(\Lambda, a) = \langle a \rangle$ and $cons(\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle, b) = \langle a_0, \dots, a_n, b \rangle$.

Enriched list structure

We add to the language L_σ new symbols:

- $head: list \rightarrow atom \cup list$,
- $tail: list \rightarrow list$,
- $\sqsubseteq \subseteq list \times list$,
- $\in \subseteq atom \times list$.

Enriched list structure over \mathcal{M} ($ELS(\mathcal{M})$):

- $head(\Lambda) = \Lambda$ and $head(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle) = a_n$,
- $tail(\Lambda) = tail(\langle a \rangle) = \Lambda$ and
 $tail(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1} \rangle) = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$,
- $x \sqsubseteq y$ if a list x is an initial segment of a list y ,
- $a \in x$ if a is an element from a list x .

Extended list structure

Let \mathcal{M} be an L -structure, α computable ordinal, and $\Psi = \{\psi_n(\bar{x}_n)\}_{n \in \omega}$ is a uniformly computable sequence of computable infinitary formulas in the language $L \cup \{nil, cons\}$.

The Ψ - $S(\mathcal{M})$ is a two-sorted structure in the language $L^\Psi := L \cup \{R_{\psi_n}\}_{n \in \omega}$, where R_{ψ_n} are new symbols, such that:

- any symbol from L is treated as applying only to atoms;
- for each $n \in \omega$, R_{ψ_n} is interpreted as $\psi_n[LS(\mathcal{M})]$.

Problem

Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a countable structure, and $S(\mathcal{M})$ is a list-extended structure over \mathcal{M} (say, $LS(\mathcal{M})$ or $ELS(\mathcal{M})$).

- If the first-order theory of \mathcal{M} is decidable, then is it true that the theory of $S(\mathcal{M})$ is also decidable?*

Proposition (Goncharov 1986)

If the first-order theory of \mathcal{M} is decidable, then the theory of $LS(\mathcal{M})$ is also decidable.

Problem

Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a countable structure, and $S(\mathcal{M})$ is a list-extended structure over \mathcal{M} (say, $LS(\mathcal{M})$ or $ELS(\mathcal{M})$).

- If the first-order theory of \mathcal{M} is decidable, then is it true that the theory of $S(\mathcal{M})$ is also decidable?*

Proposition (Goncharov 1986)

If the first-order theory of \mathcal{M} is decidable, then the theory of $LS(\mathcal{M})$ is also decidable.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{M} = (\omega; +)$, i.e. \mathcal{M} . Then the theory of $ELS(\mathcal{M})$ is undecidable.

Corollary

The list-extended structure $\{head, tail, \sqsubseteq\}$ - $S(\omega, +)$ has no decidable copies.

Corollary

The theory of $\{head, tail, \sqsubseteq\}$ - $S(\omega, +)$ is computably isomorphic to $\emptyset^{(\omega)}$.

Theorem

Let A be non-empty, at most countable set. Then the first-order theory of $ELS^2(A)$ is computably isomorphic to the first-order arithmetic.

Corollary

Let \mathcal{M} be an L -structure such that its atomic diagram $D(\mathcal{M})$ is arithmetical, i.e. $D(\mathcal{M}) \leq_T \emptyset^{(n)}$ for some $n \in \omega$. Then the theory of $ELS^2(\mathcal{M})$ is computably isomorphic to the first-order arithmetic.

Thank you!